UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/702,373	11/05/2003	Hiroshi Kanno	60202(49381)	8448	
	7590 12/23/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 5587		DEBNATH, SUMAN			
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2435		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/702,373	KANNO, HIROSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SUMAN DEBNATH	2435		

	SUMAN DEBNATH	2435	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ii	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	in.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t		_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will ided below or appended.	be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kimyen Vu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed December 1st, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that:

"The proposed combination of the '192 publication in view of Takahashi, and further in view of Fuh does not teach or suggest an image processing system in which fingerprint information stored in a scanner and second fingerprint information stored in a terminal apparatus "are deleted upon completion of a reading operation of the document image or when a predetermined time has elapsed before the reading operation is completed," as recited in independent claim 1."

Examiner maintains that:

Takahashi discloses terminal apparatus (FIG. 1) comprises: second fingerprint information reading means for reading the fingerprint information of an operator or a different operator (FIG. 1, [0044], lines 8-13); and second fingerprint information storing means for storing temporarily the fingerprint information read by said second fingerprint information reading means (FIG. 1, [0044], lines 19-23 and [0009], lines 14-15). Furthermore, Fuh discloses wherein the fingerprint information stored in the scanner is deleted upon completion of a reading operation of the document image or when a predetermined time has elapsed before the reading operation is completed (col. 5, lines 11-20, Fuh teaches this concept by removing authentication information (i.e. fingerprint information) if the inactivity timer expires). The Applicant should note that when claimed limitations are separated using "or" clause; Examiner need to show one or the other limitation in cited prior art but not both when two limitations are separated by "OR" operator (Boolean function). In this case, Fuh teaches deleting information when predetermined time has elapsed before the reading operation is completed (col. 5, lines 11-20). It should also be noted that Fur clearly teaches the deleting feature (i.e. deleting authentication information). Anybody from ordinary skill in the art would understand that authentication information could be fingerprint information or any kind of credentials of the user.

Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.